

# De Anza College Office of Institutional Research and Planning

**To:** College Planning Committee

**From:** Mallory Newell, Office of Research and Planning

**Date:** 7/11/2024

**Subject:** Belonging, Civic Capacity, Basic Needs and Mental Health Survey, Spring 2024

---

The Belonging, Civic Capacity, Basic Needs and Mental Health Survey was designed to assess a variety of factors that could influence a student's ability to stay enrolled and successfully meet their educational goals. Those include a sense of belonging on campus, developing a sense of civic capacity to help other and an assessment of basic needs including food and housing insecurities and mental health as barriers to success. The survey was sent to all De Anza students enrolled during spring 2024 quarter. The survey was administered via email and remained open for two weeks. A total of 315 responses were collected. Each of the questions will be used to evaluate the college's Educational Master Plan [goals and metrics](#).

## Key Takeaways:

- **90%** of respondents reported a **sense of belonging** at De Anza, consistent with spring 2023.
- **88%** of respondents indicated a rate of **civic capacity for community and social change**, consistent with spring 2023.
- **51%** of respondents indicated they experienced **food insecurity** in the past 12 months, up from 29% from spring 2023.
- **47%** of respondents indicated they experienced **housing insecurity** in the past 12 months, up from 29% in spring 2022.
- **18%** of respondents indicated **homelessness** in the past 12, consistent with spring 2023.
- **49%** of respondents indicated that **mental health issues impeded their success** at De Anza, consistent with spring 2023.

Students who identify as **Latinx and Asian** exhibit the highest rates of **belonging** while **Filipinx** students exhibit the **highest rate of civic capacity**. However, **Black, and Filipinx** students exhibit the **highest rate of food insecurity** while **Black and Latinx** students have the **highest rates of housing insecurity, homelessness and mental health struggles**.

Students who selected **nonbinary or 'other' gender category** are **less likely** to feel a sense of **belonging** and report a **higher rate of mental health issues** when compared to other gender identifies.

Students between the **ages of 21 – 30** are **less likely** to feel a sense of **belonging** and **higher rates of food and housing insecurity** than other age groups.

Concerted attention and support should be diverted to our **PELL students who exhibited high rates of food and housing insecurity and homelessness**.

## Belonging, Civic Capacity, Basic Needs and Mental Health

Table 1. Belonging, Civic Capacity, Basic Needs and Mental Health Indices

	Spring 2024		Spring 2023		Spring 2022		Spring 2021		Spring 2020		Spring 2018	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Belonging	283	90%	438	92%	433	89%	211	88%				
Civic Capacity	278	88%	424	89%	426	88%						
Food Insecurity	160	51%	139	29%	175	36%	80	33%	485	36%	1,171	58%
Housing Insecurity	149	47%	140	29%	190	40%	104	44%	528	40%	1,128	56%
Homelessness	58	18%	75	16%	67	14%	44	18%	155	12%	365	18%
Mental Health	155	49%	244	51%	230	47%	148	62%	776	57%		

When comparing current survey results from spring 2024 to prior survey administrations:

- The **sense of belonging** rate **remained** rather **stable** ranging from 88% to 92% over the past four years.
- **Civic capacity** has **remained consistent** over the past three spring terms at 88% to 89%.
- **Food insecurity increased** rather **drastically in spring 2024** from around 30% over the past 4 years to 51% in spring 2024.
- **Housing insecurity increased to 47%** in spring 2024 from 29% in spring 2023, though has been in the 40% range over the previous 3 years.
- **Homelessness remained rather consistent at 18%** which is consistent with the prior five years.
- The proportion of student respondents affected by **mental health** being a barrier to their success has **remained around 50%** over the past three years.

Table 2. Belonging, Civic Capacity, Basic Needs and Mental Health Index by Demographic Groups, Spring 2024

	Belonging		Civic Capacity		Food Insecurity		Housing Insecurity		Homelessness		Mental Health	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
<b>Ethnicity</b>												
Asian	104	93%	101	90%	54	48%	46	41%	19	17%	59	53%
Black	10	91%	11	100%	9	82%	8	73%	3	27%	9	82%
Filipinx	10	83%	11	92%	9	75%	6	50%			6	50%
Latinx	45	94%	43	90%	27	56%	34	71%	12	25%	32	67%
Native American												
Pacific Islander	3	100%	3	100%								
White	53	91%	51	88%	24	41%	29	50%	9	16%	30	52%
Decline to State	56	81%	56	81%	34	49%	24	35%	13	19%	17	25%
<b>Gender</b>												
Woman	146	92%	144	91%	82	52%	83	53%	32	20%	91	58%
Man	87	94%	83	89%	46	49%	47	51%	17	18%	43	46%
Nonbinary	3	75%	4	100%							3	75%
Other Gender Identity	13	72%	13	72%	13	72%	11	61%	5	28%	14	78%
<b>Age</b>												
Age: 20 and under	66	90%	61	84%	35	48%	35	48%	12	16%	45	62%
Age: 21 - 30	85	89%	83	87%	55	58%	56	59%	18	19%	54	57%
Age: 31+	97	93%	99	95%	51	49%	50	48%	23	22%	50	48%
<b>Other Groups</b>												
LGBTQ+	60	90%	55	84%	37	55%	38	57%	13	19%	50	75%
Foster Youth	5	100%	5	100%	4	80%	3	60%	3	60%	4	80%
PELL	48	94%	48	94%	42	82%	37	73%	19	37%	36	71%
Disability	47	90%	45	87%	27	52%	30	58%	12	23%	37	71%
Non-US Citizen	54	92%	52	88%	27	46%	33	56%	8	14%	30	51%
Veteran	5	100%	5	100%	3	60%	3	60%			3	60%

Note: lowest rate(s) for belonging and civic capacity were bolded, whereas the highest rates in food, housing and mental health in each category were bolded for emphasis. Cell sizes suppressed when less than 3 respondents.

## Belonging

**Goal:** Achieving the Success Factors

**Metric:** The rate at which students report they feel a sense of belonging on campus will be no more than 5 percentage points different for Black, Filipinx, Foster Youth, Latinx, LGBTQ+, Native American and Pacific Islander students, compared with the rate for all other students.

To create an index for belonging to measure the goal of achieving the success factors, students were asked to respond to five questions that focused on a sense of belonging at De Anza, either online or in person. If a respondent selected either 'great extent' or 'some extent' on three or more of the five questions, they were included within the belonging index.

As displayed in Table 1 above, there is an **overall high rate of belonging reported by all respondents at 90%**. When disaggregating the Belonging index (Table 2), the lowest rate is 72%.

- The **lowest rate of belonging** by ethnicity was exhibited by **decline to state at 81%**.
- Students who identify as **other gender identify exhibited the lowest rate** of belonging at 72% while those who identify as man exhibited the highest rate of 94%.
- Students aged 21- 30 exhibited a rate of 89% while 31+ had a rate of 93%.
- **LGBTQ+ students and PELL recipients had the lowest rate of belonging of any group at 90%**.

## Civic Capacity

**Goal:** Civic Capacity for Community and Social Change

**Metric:** The rate at which students report they are able to make a positive difference for others will be no more than 5 percentage points different for Black, Filipinx, Foster Youth, Latinx, LGBTQ+, Native American and Pacific Islander students, compared with all other students.

To create an index for civic capacity to measure the goal of civic capacity for community and social change, students were asked to respond to five questions that focused on making a positive difference for others. If a respondent selected either 'great extent' or 'some extent' on three or more of the five questions, they were included within the civic capacity index.

As displayed in Table 1 above, there is an **overall high rate of civic capacity reported by all respondents at 88%**. When disaggregating the civic capacity index (Table 2), the lowest rate is 72%.

- The **lowest rate of students expressing a sense of civic capacity** by ethnicity was for students who identify as **declined to state at 81%**, followed by 88% for white students.

- Students who identify as an **‘other’ gender identify** exhibited the **lowest rate** of civic capacity at 72% while those who identify as a nonbinary exhibited the highest rate of 100%.
- Students aged 20 and under exhibited the lowest rate by age at 84%.
- **Students** with a disability had the **lowest rate of all groups at 87%**, followed by **non-US citizen students** at 88%.

## Food Insecurity

To create an index for Food Insecurity, students were asked to respond to five questions that focused on access to food and the ability to buy enough food in the past year. If a respondent responded affirmatively to two or more of the five questions, they were included within the food insecurity index.

As displayed in Table 1 above, **the rate of food insecurity is 51%**, when disaggregating the index, the rate of food insecurity differs by group. The higher the rate, the higher the rate of food insecurity (e.g., less access to food with or without hunger).

- The **highest rate of food insecurity by ethnicity was for Black students at 82%**, followed by 75% for Filipinx students and 56% for Latinx students.
- **Students who identify as an ‘other’ gender identify** exhibited the **highest rate** of all gender identities with **72% reporting food insecurity**.
- Students between the ages of 21 and 30 had the highest rate of all age groups at 58%.
- **PELL recipients** had the **highest rate of any group at 82%**, followed by foster youth students at 80%.

## Basic Needs and Mental Health

**Goal:** Meeting Basic Needs

**Metric:** The rate of basic needs challenges – including homelessness, housing insecurity, food insecurity and mental health issues – reported by Black, Filipinx, Foster Youth, Latinx, LGBTQ+, Native American and Pacific Islander students will be no more than 5 percentage points different from the rate for all other students, as measured by the annual basic needs survey.

## Housing Insecurity

To create an index for Housing Insecurity to be used to measure part of the basic needs goal, students were asked to respond to five questions that focused on housing, including the ability to pay for housing in the past 12 months. If a respondent answered affirmatively to any of the five questions, they were included in the housing insecurity index.

As displayed in Table 1 above, **the rate of housing insecurity is 47%**. When disaggregating the index, the rate of housing insecurity varied by student group. Similar to food insecurity, the higher the rate, the higher the rate of housing insecurity (e.g., moved two or more times in a year).

- The **highest rate of housing insecurity** by ethnicity was for **Black students at 73%**, followed by Latinx students at 71%.
- The housing insecurity rate was **highest for students who selected ‘other’ gender identity** at 61%.
- Students between the ages of 21 and 30 had the highest rate of all age groups at 59%.
- **PELL recipient students** had the **highest rate of housing insecurity of any group at 73%**, followed by foster youth and veteran students both at 60%.

## Homelessness

To create an index for Homelessness to be used to measure part of the basic needs goal, students were asked to respond to six questions that focused on having a place to sleep in the past year. If a respondent selected affirmative to any of the six questions, they were included in the homelessness index.

As displayed in Table 1 above, **the rate of homelessness is 18%**, when disaggregating the index, the rate of homelessness varied by student group. Again, similar to food and housing insecurities, the higher the rate, the higher the rate of homelessness (e.g., stayed in a shelter).

- The **highest rate of homelessness** was exhibited by **Black students at 27%** followed by **Latinx at 25%**.
- Students who **selected ‘other’ gender identity** exhibited the **highest rate of homelessness** for any gender category at 28%.
- Students over the age of 30 had the highest rate of all age groups at 22%.
- **Foster youth students** had the **highest rate of homelessness of any group at 60%** followed by PELL recipients at 37%.

## Mental Health

The rate at which mental health issues impeded a students’ ability to be successful was measured through one question, to be used to measure part of the basic needs goal of mental health. If a respondent selected ‘great effect’ or ‘moderate effect’ they were included as having a mental health barrier.

As displayed in Table 1 above, **49% of respondents indicated that mental health issues impeded their success** at De Anza. When disaggregating by student group, the rates varied. Similar to food, housing and homelessness, the higher the rate, the higher the rate of mental health barriers.

- The **highest rate** of mental health as a barrier to success by ethnicity was for **Black students at a rate of 82%**, followed by **Latinx students at 67%**.
- Students who selected ‘other’ gender identity exhibited the **highest rate** of mental health as a barrier to success at **78%**, followed by **nonbinary at 75%**.
- Students between the **ages of 21 and 30 exhibited the highest rate** of all age groups at 57%.
- **Foster youth students had the highest rate of mental health** as a barrier to success of **all student groups at 80%**, followed by students who identify as LGBTQ+ at 75%.

## Demographics

Table 3. **Belonging, Civic Capacity**, Basic Needs and Mental Health Index, Respondent Demographics

	Responses	%	De Anza Spring 2023
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
Asian	170	36%	40%
Black	15	3%	4%
Filipinx	13	3%	7%
Latinx	81	17%	25%
Native American	1	0%	0%
Pacific Islander	3	1%	1%
White	99	21%	17%
Decline to state	96	20%	6%
<b>Gender</b>			
Woman	247	52%	49%
Man	139	29%	50%
Non-binary	16	3%	0%
Genderqueer	13	3%	-
Other gender identity	7	1%	-
Unreported	56	12%	2%
<b>Age</b>			
Age: 20 and under	167	35%	52%
Age: 21 - 30	129	27%	33%
Age: 31+	127	27%	15%
Unreported	55	12%	0%
<b>Other</b>			
Disability	81	17%	3%
LGBTQ+	117	24%	1%
Foster Youth	11	2%	0%
Non U.S. Citizen	72	15%	10%
PELL	111	23%	39%
Veteran	13	3%	1%

Demographic characteristics among survey respondents were somewhat representative of the De Anza’s student population in spring 2024 in varying categories. The greatest exceptions were observed among Latinx students who were underrepresented in the sample by 7 percentage points

and an overrepresentation of students in the survey who declined to state their racial/ethnic identity.

Regarding gender, there was an underrepresentation of students who identify as a man by 21 percentage points in the survey compared to the student population. There was also a higher proportion of students who chose not to identify their gender in the survey than within the student population leading to variability. This was also the case for age, where a higher rate of respondents chose not to identify their age than in the De Anza population, resulting in an underrepresentation of students aged 20 and under and slightly for those aged 21-30, with an overrepresentation of students aged 31+.

In regard to other student populations, students with a disability and those who identify as LGBTQ+ were overrepresented in the survey while those who identify as non U.S. citizens and PELL grant recipients were underrepresented in the survey.

## Appendix

### Sense of Belonging

Table 4. Questions Measuring Sense of Belonging, Spring 2024

	Feel like you belong		Feel a personal connection with other students, staff or instructors		Feel your unique personal experiences and/or culture are honored and respected		Feel like you can openly share your point of view		Feel you have a good support network	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Great extent	106	34%	111	35%	141	45%	152	48%	135	43%
Some extent	176	56%	160	51%	129	41%	122	39%	138	44%
No extent	28	9%	41	13%	42	13%	38	12%	38	12%
Unreported	5	2%	3	1%	3	1%	3	1%	4	1%
Total	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%

- Respondents selected ‘great extent’ at the highest rate for: feel like you can openly share your point of view (48%) followed by feel your unique personal experiences and/or culture are honored and respected (35%).
- The lowest rated response in the ‘great extent’ category was at 34%: feel like you belong, followed by 35%: feel a personal connection with other students, staff and instructors.

# Civic Capacity

Table 5. Questions Measuring Civic Capacity, Spring 2024

	See yourself as a person whose voice and opinions matter		Voice your opinions on campus, at work, or in your community		See yourself as a person who can work with others to make a positive difference on campus or in your community		Understand issues in the world around you		Develop solutions to real-world problems	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Great extent	127	40%	114	36%	148	47%	154	49%	121	38%
Some extent	140	44%	141	45%	116	37%	136	43%	145	46%
No extent	45	14%	54	17%	46	15%	22	7%	44	14%
Unreported	3	1%	6	2%	5	2%	3	1%	5	2%
Total	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%	315	100%

- Respondents selected ‘great extent’ at the highest rate for: understand issues in the world around you (49%) and see yourself as a person whose voice and opinions matter (47%).
- The lowest rated response was at 36% reporting ‘great extent’ for: voice your opinions on campus, at work, or in your community, followed by 38% for: develop solutions to real world problems.

# Food Insecurity

Food security among survey respondents was measured using the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Six-Item Short Form of the Food Security Survey Module. The food security scale has been adjusted to use in a self-administered survey format. Responses to each item on the food security scale are scored based on the number of affirmative responses. The score for each respondent determined their food security status; with a score between 0 and 1 affirmative responses indicating high or marginal food security, a score between 2 and 4 indicating low food security, and a score between 5 and 6 indicating a very low food security<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2012): <https://www.ers.usda.gov/media/8282/short2012.pdf>

Table 6. Rates of affirmative responses to specific items in the USDA food security scale

	#	%
I couldn't afford to eat balanced meals	166	<b>53%</b>
The food that I bought just didn't last and I didn't have enough money to get more	145	<b>46%</b>
Did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn't enough money for food?	115	<b>37%</b>
Did you ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn't enough money for food?	136	<b>43%</b>
Were you ever very hungry but didn't eat because there wasn't enough money for food?	82	<b>26%</b>

- The highest rate of food insecurity was observed for statements: I couldn't afford to eat balanced meals at the highest rate at 53% (166), followed by the food that I bought just didn't last and I didn't have enough money to get more at 46% (145) of respondents.
- The lowest rated response was at 26% (82) for: were you ever very hungry but didn't eat because there wasn't enough money for food?

Definitions of the three food security statuses according to the USDA's Guide to Measuring Household Food Security<sup>2</sup> are:

- High or marginal food security:  
*Food secure* – Household show no or minimal evidence of food insecurity
- Low food security:  
*Food insecure* – Without hunger
- Very low food security:  
*Food insecure* – With hunger

<sup>2</sup> (United States Department of Agriculture, 2000): <https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/FSGuide.pdf>

Table 7. Scale of Food Security Status, Spring 2024

Food Security scale	Last 12 Months	
	#	%
High or marginal security (score = 0-1)	146	46%
Low security (score = 2-3)	55	17%
Very low security (score = 4-5)	105	33%

- 46% (146) of survey respondents reported “high or marginal” food security, meaning they were food stable.
- 33% (105) of respondents had a “very low” food security status, meaning they have the greatest food assistance needs.
- 17% (55) of respondents had a “low” food security status.

## Housing Insecurity

Housing insecurity and homelessness survey questions were adapted from Wisconsin Hope Lab. Housing insecurity was defined as a set of broad housing issues including frequent moves, crowding, poor housing quality, or the inability to afford rent or bills. Homelessness describes the absence of a place to live, which includes people who live in shelters, vehicles, or abandoned structures<sup>3</sup>. Housing insecurity and homelessness were measured with five survey items each with an addition of one locally added question to the housing security items.

Table 8. Rates of affirmative response items measuring housing insecurity (past 12 months)

	De Anza Respondents	
	#	%
<i>Any of the below items:</i>	<b>149</b>	<b>47%</b>
Doubled up or shared a room	83	26%
Moved in with other people due to financial problems	82	26%
Didn't pay the full amount of utilities	69	22%
Didn't pay the full amount of rent or mortgage	62	20%
Moved two or more times in the year	37	12%

- 47% (149) of respondents answered affirmatively to at least one of the items indicating housing insecurity.
- 26% (83) of respondents indicated they had to double up or share a room during the past 12 months compared.
- 26% (82) of respondents indicated they had to move in with other people due to financial problems.

<sup>3</sup> (Goldrick-Rab, Richardson, & Hernandez, 2017): <http://www.wihopelab.com/publications/Hungry-and-Homeless-in-College-Report.pdf>

## Homelessness

Table 9. Rates of affirmative response items measuring homelessness (past 12 months)

	De Anza Respondents	
	#	%
<i>Any of the below items:</i>	<b>75</b>	<b>16%</b>
Did not know where you were going to sleep, even for one night	34	11%
Didn't have a home	27	9%
Was thrown out of your home	30	10%
Stayed in a vehicle, abandoned building, or other place not meant as housing	19	6%
Was evicted from your home	6	2%
Stayed in a shelter	7	2%

- 16% (75) of respondents answered affirmatively to at least one of the items indicating homelessness.
- Two homelessness items had the highest rates of affirmative responses:
  - 11% (34) of respondents indicated that they did not know where they were going to sleep, even for one night
  - 10% (30) of respondents indicated that they didn't have a home sometime during the past 12 months.

## Mental Health

Table 10. Questions Included in Mental Health Index, Spring 2024

**How much have mental health issues (e.g., personal or family mental health issues or lack of access to mental health services) affected your ability to be successful at De Anza College?**

	#	%
<b>Mental health rate</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>49%</b>
Great effect	82	26%
Moderate effect	73	23%
Little effect	59	19%
No effect	62	20%
Unreported	39	12%
Total	315	100%

- 49% (155) of respondents indicated they faced mental health challenges that impeded their success at De Anza.
  - 26% (82) of respondents indicated mental health had a 'great effect' on their ability to be successful.

- 23% (73) of respondents indicated mental health had a ‘moderate effect’ on their ability to be successful.

## References

- De Anza's Office of Institutional Research and Planning. (2018). *Student Demographics and Characteristics*. Retrieved from De Anza College: <http://www.deanza.edu/ir/deanza-research-projects/studentcharacteristics/LGBTQIRreport.pdf>
- Goldrick-Rab, S., Richardson, J., & Hernandez, A. (2017, March). *Hungry and Homeless in College: Results from a National Study of Basic Needs Insecurity in Higher Education*. Retrieved from Wisconsin HOPE Lab: <http://www.wihopelab.com/publications/Hungry-and-Homeless-in-College-Report.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2012, September). *U.S. Household Food Security Module: Six-Item Short Form*. Retrieved from Food Security in the U.S.: Survey Tools: <https://www.ers.usda.gov/media/8282/short2012.pdf>
- United States Department of Agriculture. (2000). *Guide to Measuring Household Food Security*. Retrieved from USDA ERS - Survey Tools: <https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/FSGuide.pdf>
- United States Department of Housing and Urban Development . (2017, December). *The 2017 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress*. Retrieved from HUD Exchange Resource Library: <https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/2017-AHAR-Part-1.pdf>
- Wisconsin HOPE Lab. (2017). *Los Angeles Community College District: District report from fall 2016 Survey of Student Basic Needs*. Retrieved from Publications: Reports, Articles, & Briefs: <http://wihopelab.com/publications/Wisconsin-HOPE-Lab-LA-CC-District-Report-Survey-Student-Needs.pdf>